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Semiempirical and ab initio calculations have been performed on pyrazoles with 3- or 5-cationic substituents, e.g. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$, with the aim of discovering if these substituents were able to shift the tautomeric equilibrium towards one of the tautomers. The ammonium substituent is not amenable to the experiment since $3(5)$-aminopyrazoles protonate on the pyrazole ring; however, pyrazole-3(5)-diazonium is stable and has been studied. The conclusion is that these equilibria are strongly shifted towards the 3 -substituted tautomer. The azide substituent behaves normally in the sense that both tautomers are of similar stability.

In the preceding paper of this series, ${ }^{1}$ we described the behaviour of a large set ( 156 compounds) of NH and N -methylpyrazoles. One of the conclusions of this study and of a preceding one, ${ }^{2}$ is that the difference in energy between tautomers carrying neutral substituents (as different as $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ ) is quite small: i.e. both tautomers exist in comparable amounts.

In a previous paper we described the complex acid-base equilibria of 3(5)-aminopyrazole 1 (see Fig. 1). ${ }^{2}$ This compound exists as a mixture of two tautomers, $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. Monoprotonation can yield three different cations: protonation on the pyrazole ring yields cation 2 which is common to both tautomers. Protonation on the amino group yields cations 3a and $\mathbf{b}$, which, in turn, are in tautomeric equilibrium (the common dication 4 was neither calculated nor measured). All the evidence, experimental as well as theoretical, points to cation 2 as the most stable monoprotonated derivative, both in the gas phase and in solution. For cations $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, only theoretical evidence was gathered $(6-31 G / / 6-31 G$ calculations): ${ }^{2}$ owing to a mistake in the graphical representation it appears that cation 3b was more stable than cation 3a. Actually (see Fig. 2) cation 3a is more stable than cation 3b by 18.33 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}(1 \mathrm{cal}=4.184 \mathrm{~J})$. Cation 2 lies $21.75 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower than cation 3a.

In reference 1 , which deals with AMI calculations, these calculations were repeated, but only for pyrazoles bearing neutral substituents and their common cations, for instance 1a, 1b and 2. We describe here the case of charged substituents $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{+}$including the double charged common cations, such as 4. For comparative purposes, the case of the azido substituent, $-\mathrm{N}^{-}-\mathrm{N}^{+} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ was also included. All the results are gathered in Table 1.

Since cations 3a and $\mathbf{b}$ cannot be studied experimentally, we decided to explore, both theoretically and experimentally, the related case of pyrazole-3(5)-diazonium 5 (see Fig. 3). Compound 5 has been described by Reimlinger, ${ }^{3}$ who reports only its UV spectrum. We have prepared this compound as well as its $N$-methyl derivatives 7 and 8 from the corresponding aminopyrazoles.

## Results and Discussion

Experimental Study of the Tautomerism of Pyrazole-3(5)diazonium Hexafluorophosphate.-We have gathered in Table 2 all the spectroscopic information about compounds 5, 7 and 8.


Fig. 1
Compound 7 is a good model of tautomer 5a but compound $\mathbf{8}$ is a less convenient model for tautomer 5 b since there is an interaction between the N -methyl and the 5-diazonium groups which could affect the spectroscopic properties. Nevertheless, it is clear that compound 5 closely resembles 7 and that it is quite different from 8. For instance, the UV spectra of 5 and 7 are identical while that of $\mathbf{8}$ is very different. The same happens if one considers the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts, for instance the difference between the signals of protons $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{H}_{4}$ is $0.55,0.57$ and 0.07 ppm for 5,7 and 8 , respectively. The ${ }^{3} J\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ coupling constants cannot be used for this purpose since the three values are very similar $(2.5-2.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. When the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 was recorded in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, the signal of the $\mathrm{C}_{3(5)}-\mathrm{H}$ at 134.6 ppm was very broad and that of $\mathrm{C}_{5(3)}-\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$was not observed (that of $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ appeared at 115.65 ppm ). The addition of a drop of trifluoroacetic acid resulted in a narrowing of all signals which were slightly shifted (between 0.5 and 1 ppm ). Again,

Table 1 AM1 Calculations of pyrazoles carrying charged substituents, heat of formation and protonation enthalpies (all values in $\mathrm{kcal}^{\mathbf{~ m o l}}{ }^{-1}$ )

| No. | Compound | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} H$ | $-\Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H$ | $\mu / \mathrm{D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | 3-ammonio | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | H | H | 222.0 | 93.5 | - |
| 3b | 5-ammonio | H | H | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 232.4 | 103.9 | - |
| 4 | common cation | - | - | - | ${ }^{+}$ | 495.7 | - | - |
| 9 a | 3-trimethylammonio | H | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{+}$ | H | H | 233.8 | 105.4 | - |
| $9 \mathrm{9b}$ | 5-trimethylammonio | H | H | H | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 243.1 | 114.7 | - |
| 10 | common cation | - | - | - | - | 495.6 | - | - |
| 5 a | 3-diazonio | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | H | H | 297.2 | 81.3 | - |
| 5b | 5-diazonio | H | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 305.9 | 90.0 | - |
| 6 | common cation | - | - | - | , | 583.1 | - | - |
| 11 | 4-diazonio | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | H | 290.5 | 94.0 | - |
| 12 | corresponding cation | - | - | $-$ | - | 563.7 | - | - |
| 13 | 3,5-dimethyl-4-diazonio | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 269.0 | 104.6 | - |
| 14 | corresponding cation | - | $-$ | + |  | 531.6 | - | - |
| 7 | 1-methyl-3-diazonio | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | H | H | 299.7 | 89.2 | - |
| 15 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | - | 577.7 | - | - |
| 16 | 1-methyl-4-diazonio | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | H | 292.4 | 100.9 | - |
| 17 | corresponding cation |  | - | + | - | 558.7 | - | - |
| 8 | 1-methyl-5-diazonio | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 308.0 | 96.3 | - |
| 18 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | ${ }_{2}$ | 578.9 | - | - |
| 19a | 3-azido | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | H | H | 149.6 | 205.1 | 2.93 |
| 19b | 5-azido | H | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 151.7 | 207.2 | 1.24 |
| 20 | common cation | - | - | - | - | 311.7 | - | - |
| 21 | 3-azido-4-phenyl | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 175.0 | 207.0 | 2.74 |
| 22 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | - | 335.2 | - | - |
| 23 | 4-azido | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | H | 150.0 | 200.6 | 2.84 |
| 24 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | - | 316.6 | - | - |
| 25 | 1-methyl-3-azido | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | H | H | 155.2 | 208.7 | 3.33 |
| 26 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | - | 313.7 | - | - |
| 27 | 1-methyl-4-azido | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | H | 155.6 | 205.4 | 2.81 |
| 28 | corresponding cation | - | - | - | - | 317.4 | - | - |
| 29 | 1-methyl-5-azido | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 157.4 | 210.1 | 1.52 |
| 30 | corresponding cation | - | - | - |  | 314.5 | - | - |

${ }^{a} 1 \mathrm{cal}=4.184 \mathrm{~J}$.


Fig. 2
compound 5 is more similar to compound 7 than to compound 8, both in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts and in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ coupling constants. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CPMAS NMR spectrum of compound 5 does not show any splitting of signals, indicating that only one tautomer is present and the chemical shifts (see Table 2) prove that it is tautomer 5a.

It is clear that tautomer 5a predominates in solution and that it is the only one present in the solid state. Nevertheless, the
difference in energy with regard to tautomer $\mathbf{5 b}$ does not seem to be as large as $8.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (see Table 1) since the broadening of signals in DMSO solution points to a slow equilibrium between both tautomers with an appreciable amount of the minor one (the presence of $1 \%$ of the minor tautomer corresponds to a difference in energy of less than 2.7 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). The addition of a small amount of trifluoroacetic acid increases the rate of interconversion without shifting the equilibrium.

We carried out a 6-31G//6-31 G calculation on compounds 5a $(-332.64133), 5 b(-332.61802)$ and $6(-332.79605$ Hartree $)$. The difference in energy between both tautomers is 14.63 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, i.e., larger than the AM1 value. Then, we calculated the $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{* *} / / 6-31 \mathrm{G}$ energies of tautomers $5 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}(-332.82814$ and -332.80425 Hartree) which correspond to a difference in energy of $15.00 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, i.e., a value slightly bigger than the 6-31G//6-31G values.

We now return to the AM1 values of Table 1. Compounds $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 21 were studied since they are the only pyrazoles bearing diazonio or azido substituents whose structures have been determined by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{4,5}$ They can be used to ascertain whether the AMl optimized geometries are a good approximation to the experimental ones (see supplementary material). Concerning the pyrazole ring, AM1 geometries reproduce the main features of this ring, ${ }^{6}$ but, for compounds 13 and 21, they overestimate all bond lengths (maximum deviations, $C_{3}-C_{4}$ of compound $13, \Delta r=0.057 \AA$ ) except the $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ bond which is underestimated ( -0.032 and $-0.042 \AA$ for compounds 13 and 21, respectively). Concerning bond angles, deviations up to $3^{\circ}$ are observed, these deviations mainly affect the ipso carbon atom, i.e. $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ for compound $13(\Delta \theta=$ $-3.1^{\circ}$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ for compound $21\left(\Delta \theta=-3.2^{\circ}\right)$.

The geometry of the diazonium group in compound 13 is


Fig. 3

Table 2 Spectroscopic properties of pyrazole-3(5)-diazoniums 5, 7 and 8: UV ( $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \log \varepsilon$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and NMR $(\delta, J)$ in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ DMSO solution

| Compound | UV | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}{ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $257(3.97)^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.75\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 8.30\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.5(\mathrm{br})(\mathrm{NH}) \\ & J_{45}=2.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.95\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 114.20\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 135.08\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \\ & \mathrm{C}_{3}:{ }^{:} ; \mathrm{C}_{4}:{ }^{1} J=198.6,{ }^{2} J=9.1 ; \mathrm{C}_{5}:{ }^{1} J=202.7,{ }^{2} J=6.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 257 (3.98) | $\begin{aligned} & 7.82\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 8.39\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \\ & J_{45}=2.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.76\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 116.47\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 136.40\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right),{ }^{c} 42.03\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \\ & \mathrm{C}_{3}:{ }^{2} J=6.3 ; \mathrm{C}_{4}:{ }^{1} J=198.7,{ }^{2} J=10.0 ; \mathrm{C}_{5}:{ }^{1} J=202.7, \\ & { }^{2} J=8.0 ;{ }^{c} \mathrm{CH}_{3}:{ }^{1} J=144.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 221 (3.89), 265 (3.94) | $\begin{aligned} & 8.14\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 8.07\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.31\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \\ & J_{34}=2.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140.63\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 121.03\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 113.47\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 40.77\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \\ & \mathrm{C}_{3}:{ }^{1} J=201.1,{ }^{2} J=4.0 ; \mathrm{C}_{4}:^{1} J=197.5,{ }^{2} J=11.4 \\ & \mathrm{C}_{5}:{ }^{2} J=7.7 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}: 145.3 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ In the case of compound 5 a drop of trifluoroacetic acid was added to the $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ DMSO solution. ${ }^{b}$ Reimlinger ${ }^{3}$ reports only a figure from which an approximate value of 255 nm can be read. ${ }^{c}$ This carbon atom shows ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Me}} 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. ${ }^{d}$ The ${ }^{2} J$ coupling constant cannot be measured.
perfectly described by the AMI calculation-both bond lengths and angles (see Table 3). The AM1 calculation reproduces correctly the most interesting feature of the 3-azidopyrazoles: ${ }^{5}$ the azido group is bent towards the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ lone pair. The angle $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ is $120.2^{\circ}$ (AM1) and $119.2^{\circ}$ (X-ray structure of 21). The main difference between the calculated and the experimental geometry concerns the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ angle ( $\Delta \theta=6.0^{\circ}$ ) and the torsion angles of the 3 -azido and the 4-phenyl groups. The experimental geometry has an almost planar phenyl group (torsion $4^{\circ}$ ) and an out-of-plane azido group (torsion $16^{\circ}$ ) while the contrary is found in the calculated geometry of 21 (torsions of $38^{\circ}$ and $4^{\circ}$, respectively).
The azido substituent, although a neutral one, has a dipolar nature and azidopyrazoles have been the subject of our interest in the past [3(5)-azido- 19 and 3-azido-4-phenyl-pyrazole 21 were among the compounds studied]. ${ }^{7-9}$

Taking into account that the gas phase basicity of pyrazole itself, as calculated by the AM1 method, ${ }^{1}$ is $-\Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H=202.9 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, the cationic substituents are strongly base-weakening groups. Defining $\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H=\Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H$ (pyrazole) $-\Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H$ (substituted pyrazole), these effects are: $3-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$(from 3a) $109.4 ; 5-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ (from 3b) 99.0; 3-NMe ${ }_{3}{ }^{+}$(from 9a) 97.5; 5- $\mathrm{NMe}_{3}{ }^{+}$(from 9b) 88.2; 3- $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}\left(\right.$from 5a) 121.6; $5-\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}\left(\right.$from 5b) 112.9, and $4-\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$ (from 11) $108.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Thus, the importance of the effect decreases in the order $3>5>4$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}>\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}>$ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{+}$.
For the set of 156 pyrazoles, ${ }^{1}$ the $N$-methylation effect corresponds to an average increase in the basicity of 5.0 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This effect is larger for diazonium derivatives ( $5 \mathrm{a} / 7,+7.9$, $5 \mathrm{~b} / \mathbf{8},+6.3,11 / 16,+6.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). For the three cationic substituents studied, the tautomeric equilibrium is always significantly shifted towards the 3 -substituted tautomer (in parentheses, the AM1 difference in energy): ammonio 3a (10.4), trimethylammonio 9a (9.3) and diazonio 5a (8.7 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ).

On the other hand, the azido group behaves like a neutral substituent, the 3 -substituted tautomer 19a is still the most
stable but the difference in energy is much lower ( $2.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). Experimental results, ${ }^{8}$ point out to the predominance of 19a over 19b.

## Conclusions

In the preceding paper in this series, ${ }^{1}$ we analysed the effect of neutral substituents on the basicity of pyrazoles using the TaftTopsom three parametric model. ${ }^{10}$ The $\sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{R}^{+}}$coefficients are not known for the substituents of the present work $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{NMe}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$and $\left.\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$ but it is evident that the very large $\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{p}} H$ effects observed for the first three substituents cannot be explained in the same way. Probably, the equations established for neutral substituents are not valid for charged ones.

## Experimental

Melting points were determined with a Reichert-Jung Thermovar apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were obtained from the Centro Nacional de Quimica Orgánica.

General Procedure.-The corresponding aminopyrazole (3aminopyrazole is commercially available, 3-amino-1-methyland 5 -amino-1-methyl-pyrazoles have been described previously) ${ }^{11}$ was added to a mixture of $65 \%$ hexafluorophosphoric acid and methanol (to improve the miscibility). The solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then isopentyl nitrite was added under stirring. The mixture was left for 1 h at this temperature and then diluted with $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of diethyl ether-methanol (5:1). The product, a fine whitish powder, precipitated on cooling at $-17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

1H-Pyrazole-3-diazonium hexafluorophosphate (5). 3(5)-Amino-1 H -pyrazole ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $65 \%$ hexafluorophosphoric acid ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), methanol ( $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and of isopentyl nitrite ( $3.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), reacting under the conditions given above, yielded $1.20 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of compound 5 .

Table 3 AM1 Calculated and experimental geometries [bond length $/ \AA$, bond angle ${ }^{\circ}$, torsion angle ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole-4diazonium chloride 13 (CSD Refcode: DEMCAZ) and 3-azido-4phenylpyrazole 21 (CSD Refcode: PAZDPY) ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Calc. | 21 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Exp. |  | Calc. | Exp. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{~N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | 1.347 | 1.379 | $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1.331 | 1.373 |
|  | 1.350 | 1.313 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.382 | 1.360 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.480 | 1.423 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.464 | 1.417 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.448 | 1.404 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.408 | 1.389 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.372 | 1.326 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1.390 | 1.359 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.472 | 1.486 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1.408 | 1.406 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 1.469 | 1.475 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1.266 | 1.244 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1.350 | 1.351 | $\mathrm{N}_{4}-\mathrm{N}_{5}$ | 1.131 | 1.140 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1.115 | 1.095 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 1.439 | 1.481 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 1.005 | 1.079 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}} \\ & \mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.397 | 1.390 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1.12 | 1.076 |  | 0.992 | 0.967 |
|  |  |  |  | 1.10 | 1.035 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 119.0 | 122.7 |  | 119.6 | 117.9 |
|  | 108.1 | 106.2 | $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 106.3 | 102.8 |
|  | 107.9 | 107.9 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 109.6 | 112.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 105.4 | 108.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 104.0 | 103.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 104.3 | 102.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 107.0 | 107.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 114.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 114.4 \\ & 123.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 113.0 | 113.1 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 126.5 |  | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 127.8 | 121.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 129.4 | 131.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 120.2 | 119.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 126.8 | 125.4 | $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ | 169.5 | 171.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{Me}) \end{aligned}$ | 178.7 | 177.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 129.1 | 123.6 |
|  | 110.0 | 109.7 | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 121.5 | 118.0 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 130.2 | 133.4 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (Ar) | 120.0 | 120.0 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$ | 120.0 | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 39.6 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 37.9 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 175.5 | 164.5 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 180.0 | 173.6 |

${ }^{a}$ CSD: Cambridge Structural Database (F. H. Allen, J. E. Davies, J. J. Galloy, O. Johnson, O. Kennard, C. F. Macrae, E. M. Mitchell, G. F. Mitchell, J. M. Smith and D. G. Watson, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 1991, 31, 187).

1-Methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-diazonium hexafluorophosphate (7). 3-Amino-1-methyl-1 H-pyrazole ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) $65 \%$ hexafluorophosphoric acid ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), methanol ( $0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and isopentyl nitrite ( $0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) yielded $0.68 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of compound 7.
1-Methyl-1H-pyrazole-5-diazonium hexafluorophosphate (8). 5-Amino-1-methyl-1 H -pyrazole ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $65 \%$ hexafluorophosphoric acid $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, methanol $\left(0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and isopentyl nitrite $\left(0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ reacted under the conditions given above, yielding $0.084 \mathrm{~g}(37 \%)$ of compound 8 .

UV Spectroscopy.-The UV spectra were recorded in methanol on a Perkin-Elmer 550 SE spectrophotometer.

NMR Spectroscopy.-The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in solution were recorded on Bruker AM-200 and Varian Gemini instruments working at $200.14\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $50 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Table 4 6-31G Optimized geometries of pyrazolediazonium salts

|  | $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bond length $/ \AA$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1.311 | 1.322 | 1.341 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1.318 | 1.327 | 1.347 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1.410 | 1.402 | 1.368 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1.364 | 1.376 | 1.409 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1.363 | 1.367 | 1.322 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1.385 | 1.365 | 1.396 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1.086 | 1.091 | 1.087 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | 0.995 | 0.995 | 1.006 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 1.064 | 1.065 | 1.067 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1.063 | - | 1.068 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | - | - | 1.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | - | 1.062 | - |
| $\mathrm{Bond}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 118.9 | 120.0 | 120.9 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 104.2 | 107.0 | 105.8 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 112.7 | 111.3 | 111.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 103.1 | 103.1 | 103.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 106.6 | 108.5 | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 118.3 | - | 120.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 180.0 | - | 177.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 128.4 | - | 128.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 122.5 | - | 128.0 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | - | 122.2 | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | - | 178.4 | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | - | 127.9 | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | - | 128.4 | - |
|  |  |  |  |

Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given from internal tetramethylsilane with an accuracy of $0.01\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $0.1\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. Coupling constants ( $J$ ) are accurate to $\pm 0.2$ and $\pm 0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ solid-state spectra of compound 5 was recorded on a Bruker CXP 400 spectrometer working at 100.63 MHz with CP (cross polarization) and MAS (magic angle spinning). The TOSS sequence was used to suppress side bands.

Calculations.-AM1 calculations ${ }^{12}$ were carried out with the MOPAC series of programs. ${ }^{13}$ The 6-31G//6-31G calculations ${ }^{14}$ were carried out using the HONDO series of programs. ${ }^{15}$
We have collected in Table 4 the 6-31G optimized geometries of compounds 5a, 5b and 6 and in Table 3 the calculated (AM1) and experimental geometries of compounds 13 and 21.
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